

Quark-composites approach to QCD

Sergio Caracciolo

*Scuola Normale Superiore
and INFN – Sezione di Pisa
I-56100 Pisa, ITALIA*

Gianni De Franceschi

*Università di Roma I La Sapienza
and INFN – Sezione di Frascati
Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, ITALIA*

Fabrizio Palumbo

*INFN – Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati
P. O. Box 13, I-00044 Frascati, ITALIA*

Abstract

We present a perturbative approach to QCD based on quark composites, that is barions and mesons, as fundamental variables.

We present an approach to QCD where quark composites with hadronic quantum numbers are assumed as integration variables in the Berezin integral which defines the partition function [1]. The main motivation is to overcome the present impasse whereby the confinement of quarks and the calculation of hadronic processes are done in different, incompatible frameworks.

Such an approach is made possible by two results. The first is that for some trilinear quark composites, and specifically for the nucleons, the integral obtained by the change of variables is the Berezin integral over the composites themselves. The free action of these composites is the Dirac action. The second result concerns the free action of mesonic composites, whose form can be found on the basis of the analogy with simpler models (which are potentially interesting for the physics of condensed matter), even though the integral over these variables is very complicated. Since the free actions of all these hadronic composites are irrelevant operators, they can be freely added to the standard QCD action, thus providing the basis for a perturbative expansion, which to lowest order yields the one pion exchange nucleon-nucleon interaction and the pion-pion interaction of the chiral models, while the quarks do not propagate.

One way to perform the change of variables is to assume as new variables the nucleons at the sites of a sublattice of spacing $2a$, and the chiral mesons at the sites of the staggered sublattice. We have no space to present such a unified treatment, and then we will illustrate the two cases separately, starting from the nucleons. Consider the QCD partition function

$$Z = \int [dU][d\bar{\lambda}d\lambda] \exp(-S_G - S_q) \quad (1)$$

where S_G is the pure gluon action and S_q is the action of the quarks interacting with the gluons

$$S_q(r, m_q, U) = a^4 \sum_x \bar{\lambda}(x) Q_{x,y}(r, m_q, U) \lambda(y). \quad (2)$$

In the last equation the quark wave operator Q is given by

$$Q_{x,y}(r, m_q, U) = -\frac{1}{2a} \sum_{\mu} (r - \gamma_{\mu}) U_{\mu}(x) \delta_{y,x+\mu} + \left(m_q + \frac{4r}{a}\right) \delta_{x,y}, \quad (3)$$

with $0 < r \leq 1$ the Wilson parameter, U_{μ} is the link variable associated to the gluon field and m_q the quark mass, and we followed the standard

conventions. We want to evaluate the partition function by assuming as new integration variables the nucleon composites [2]

$$\psi_{\tau\alpha} = -\frac{2}{3}k_N^{1/2}a^3\delta_{\tau\tau_2}\epsilon_{\tau_1\tau_3}(\gamma_5\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\alpha_1}(\mathcal{C}\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\epsilon_{a_1a_2a_3}\lambda_{\tau_1\alpha_1}^{a_1}\lambda_{\tau_2\alpha_2}^{a_2}\lambda_{\tau_3\alpha_3}^{a_3}. \quad (4)$$

In the above equation and in the following the summation over repeated indices is understood, $\mathcal{C}$ is the charge conjugation matrix, the $\lambda_{\tau\alpha}^a$ are the quark fields with color, isospin and Dirac indices a , τ and α respectively, related to the up and down quarks according to $\lambda_{1\alpha}^a = u_\alpha^a$, $\lambda_{2\alpha}^a = d_\alpha^a$. Correspondingly $\psi_{1\alpha}$, $\psi_{2\alpha}$ are the proton, neutron fields which with the above definition transform like the quarks under isospin, chiral and $O(4)$ transformations.

There are altogether 8 ψ . Since monomials of less than 8 ψ cannot obviously contain all the λ , there can be at most one monomial Ψ which contains all of them, and with a given ordering for the product Λ of all the quark field components at a given site we have

$$\Psi = \psi_{1,1}\dots\psi_{1,4}\psi_{2,1}\dots\psi_{2,4} = J\Lambda, \quad J = k_N^4 a^{24} 2^{22} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5. \quad (5)$$

Now Λ is the only monomial with nonvanishing Berezin integral

$$\int [d\lambda]\Lambda = 1, \quad (6)$$

so that, if we assume for the ψ the same rule of integration,

$$\int [d\psi]\Psi = 1, \quad (7)$$

we have for an arbitrary function g

$$\int [d\lambda]g(\psi(\lambda)) = J \int [d\psi]g(\psi). \quad (8)$$

One can evaluate more general integrals involving the quarks as well as the nucleon composites. They vanish unless the number of quark fields is equal to 3·integer. An example of such an integral is

$$\int [d\lambda]\lambda_{\tau_1\alpha_1}^{a_1}\lambda_{\tau_2\alpha_2}^{a_2}\lambda_{\tau_3\alpha_3}^{a_3}g(\psi(\lambda)) = \sum_{\tau\alpha} f_{\tau\alpha\tau_1\alpha_1\tau_2\alpha_2\tau_3\alpha_3}^{a_1a_2a_3} J \int [d\psi]\psi_{\tau\alpha}g(\psi), \quad (9)$$

where the transformation functions f are

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\tau\alpha\tau_1\alpha_1\tau_2\alpha_2\tau_3\alpha_3}^{a_1a_2a_3} &= -\frac{1}{96}a^{-3}k_N^{-1/2}\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_3} \left[\delta_{\tau\tau_2}\epsilon_{\tau_1\tau_3}(\gamma_5\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha_1\alpha}(\gamma_\mu\mathcal{C}^{-1})_{\alpha_2\alpha_3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_{\tau\tau_1}\epsilon_{\tau_2\tau_3}(\gamma_5\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha_2\alpha}(\gamma_\mu\mathcal{C}^{-1})_{\alpha_1\alpha_3} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To evaluate the partition function perturbatively, we add to the standard action the Dirac action $S_N(r_N, m_N)$ constructed for the nucleons in the same way as for the quarks, and then consider S_q as a perturbation

$$Z = \prod_x J^2 \int [dU] \exp(-S_G) \int [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(3n)!} (-S_q)^{3n} \exp(-S_N). \quad (11)$$

Since the factor $(S_q)^{3n}$ yields a factor k_N^{-n} , because of the dependence on k_N of the transformation functions, we have an expansion in inverse powers of k_N . We should emphasize that we do not need treating the gauge fields perturbatively. As an example we report the first order contribution to the effective action of the nucleons, which results to be a pure renormalization

$$(S_N)_1 = -\frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{24^2} (2 + r^2) k_N^{-1} S_N(r'_N, m'_N), \quad r'_N = r \frac{2r^2 + 1}{2 + r^2}. \quad (12)$$

Notice that r_N satisfies the same restriction as r , and in particular $r'_N = 0, 1$ for $r = 0, 1$.

Let us now come to the chiral composites

$$\vec{\pi} = i \sqrt{k_\pi} a^2 \bar{\lambda} \gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \lambda, \quad \sigma = \sqrt{k_\pi} a^2 \bar{\lambda} \lambda. \quad (13)$$

Their action, invariant under the chiral transformations over the quarks apart from a linear breaking term, is [3]

$$S_C = \frac{a^4}{2} \sum_{x,y} [\vec{\pi}_x C_{xy} \vec{\pi}_y + \sigma_x C_{xy} \sigma_y] - m a^2 \sum_x \sigma_x \quad (14)$$

where

$$C = \frac{\rho^4}{a^2} \frac{1}{a^2 \square - \rho^2}. \quad (15)$$

The inverse of this wave operator is static, but the propagator of quadratic composites *is not the inverse of the wave operator*. This is due to the fact that, even though also the mesonic composites can be introduced as integration variables, the resulting integral does not reduce in general to a Berezin or to an ordinary integral. To overcome the difficulty of calculations with such an integral, auxiliary bosonic fields $\vec{\chi}$ and χ_0 have been introduced by means of the Stratonovitch-Hubbard representation. The integration over the quarks produces an effective action S_χ for these fields. A perturbative

series is generated by a saddle point method whose asymptotic parameter is the inverse of the square root of the number of quarks components Ω , which for up and down quarks is 24. The minimum of S_χ is achieved with a breaking of the $O(4)$ invariance, an invariance which follows from the chiral transformations on the quark-fields, and, because of the explicit breaking term we introduced, a non-zero expectation value is given to χ_0 . As a result we get

$$S_\chi = S_\chi^{free} + \Delta S_\chi \quad (16)$$

where

$$S_\chi^{free} = -\frac{1}{2}a^4 \sum_{x,y} \vec{\chi}_x \left(\square_{xy} - m_\pi^2 \delta_{xy} \right) \vec{\chi}_y - \frac{1}{2}a^4 \sum_{x,y} \theta_x \left(\frac{a^2}{2\rho^2} \square_{xy} - \delta_{xy} \right) \theta_y \quad (17)$$

with

$$m_\pi^2 = \frac{m\rho}{a} \quad (18)$$

which shows how m must be moved with the lattice spacing a in order to keep finite the meson mass. θ is the fluctuation, up to a scale factor, of the χ_0 -field around its expectation value and ΔS_χ can be given as a renormalizable expansion in inverse powers of $\sqrt{\Omega}$, whose terms can be easily expressed as an expansion in inverse powers of k_π .

References

- [1] F. Palumbo, Phys. Lett. B328 (1994) 79; F. Palumbo and G. De Franceschi, Modern Phys. Lett. A10 (1995) 901
- [2] B. L. Ioffe, Nucl. Phys. B188 (1981) 317 [erratum B191 (1981) 591]
- [3] S. Caracciolo and F. Palumbo, Nucl. Phys. B512 (1998) 505